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Abstract
The publications issued since 2003 on osteonecrosis related to oral and / or iv bisphosphonates (BsP) lend a controversy. The recommendations and guidelines from senior scientific authorities reviewed and updated in 2009 by the task force of the AAOMS, define patients in terms of risk and determine practically the prophylactic acts and the limits of operative oral and dental procedures. In these serial clinical cases, we report and discuss three situations with different therapeutic approaches and management.
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Introduction
Bisphosphonates (BsP), known for inhibiting osteoclast’s activity, have been used for the treatment of osteoporosis since 1960s. They’ve been also implicated in the treatment of hypercalcemia and metastatic cancers. In 2003, Marx [1] reported the first cases of BRONJ (Bisphosphonates-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaws). In 2007, the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons (AAOMS) adopted in the position paper the case definition on BRONJ. Most of them (94%) were associated with the intra-venous form and in the treatment of cancer-related hypercalcemia (86%). Moreover, the mandible was the most affected site (65%) and more cases were described in women (sex ratio 3:2).

Numerous risk factors have been associated with BRONJ: potency of the drug, the intra-venous form, treatment duration, cancer, periodontal disease, dento-alveolar surgery, local anatomy (tori, exostosis), age over 65 years and genetics [4]. Other factors have also been described like corticotherapy, head and neck radiotherapy, chemotherapy, anemia, smoking, coagulopathy, anemia and diabetes (Robertsona et al., [5]).

Bone turnover can be evaluated using a test that measures carboxy-terminal collagen crosslinks (CTx) in serum. With this test, clinicians can assess the risk of developing BRONJ (CTx < 100 pg/ml ↔ high risk; CTx = 100- 150 pg/ml ↔ moderate risk; CTx > 150 pg/ml ↔ minimal risk) (Marx et al., [6]). However this test has not been
independently validated and its use for estimating the risk of BRONJ is still controversial.

The true incidence of BRONJ is still unknown with wide variations among studies. Indeed, based on case-series, case-controlled and cohort studies, estimates of the cumulative incidence of BRONJ in case of iv route of administration range from 0.8%-12% (Marx et al., [6]). However, the incidence is much lower in the oral form series. For example, the incidence calculated by Merck with alendronate was 0.7/100,000 person/years of exposure [7]. Highest rates were reported in an Australian study (Ault, [8]) (0.01% to 0.04% with spontaneous occurrence and 0.09% to 0.34% after extractions). Finally the incidence estimated by Sedghizadeh [9] with the oral forms was as high as 4%.

**Case 1**

A 70-year old male presented in October 2008 with acute pain and open wound at the site of tooth #16 that was extracted 2 months ago. Upon examination, some degree of advanced bone necrosis was revealed (Fig.1). The patient had a history of a right renal adenocarcinoma with bone metastasis treated in 2006 with right nephrectomy, chemotherapy (Proleukine for 6 months) and total dose radiotherapy. His current medication included Sutent® (sunitimib, 50mg) and iv Zometa® (zoledronic acid, 15 mg every 2 weeks for 3 months; then 2mg every 4 weeks; then 1 mg every 4 weeks for 21 months). He was still complaining of back and hip pain. A bone scintigraphy done in May 2008 showed hyperfixation at vertebral levels (D9, L2, and L3), right iliac bone, bilateral humerus, proximal right femur and right maxillary sinus suggesting either a metastatic focus or an evidence of a chronic inflammatory disease (Fig 2).

A panoramic film was then taken, it showed a poorly-defined image of crestal maxillary bone loss around the lesion described above with no radiographic evidence of oral-sinus communication (Fig 3). A sinus CT scan also revealed a condensation of the right maxillary sinus (Fig 4). The patient was subsequently admitted to the hospital for bone curettage extending to the right maxillary sinus wall (Fig 5). The purpose was to determine the histological nature of the hyperfixation image (inflammatory or metastatic origin). The histo-pathological exam showed signs of osteonecrosis and chronic sinusitis without evidence of malignancy. Thus, he was treated with iv antibiotics (amoxicillin + clavulanate) and Bétadine® oral rinse. One week later, teeth #15 and #36 were extracted because of terminal bone loss with pain and mobility. Bone regularization was done (Fig 7). Fig 8 shows the aspect 22 weeks after Zometa® was stopped. In February 2011, an extraction of tooth #35 was done and the clinical exam revealed an extension of the osteonecrosis with a sub-total distal bone loss especially on the right maxillary molars quadrant (a large sequestrum was meanwhile rejected) (Fig 9).
Fig. 4: Sinus CT scan.

Fig. 5: Extensive bone curettage up to the maxillary sinus.

Fig. 6: Bone loss at tooth #15.

Fig. 7: Bone loss at tooth #36 and #15.

Fig. 8: 22 weeks after discontinuation.

Fig. 9a: Advanced mesial and distal bone loss at the left posterior mandible.

Fig. 9b: Sub-total distal bone loss at the right posterior maxilla.
Case 2

A 68-year old man presented in January 2010 with acute and bilateral mandibular pain. The patient was edentulous and wore a very old removable and complete dental prosthesis. On physical exam, a bilateral mucosal ulceration was noted with halitosis accompanying an osteonecrosis (Fig. 10). The patient had a history of multiple myeloma in February 2008 treated with chemotherapy. He also received iv Zometa® (zoledronic acid) one dose per month for a year (stopped in April 2009). He also took blood thinners (Sintrom®) and lipid-lowering (Simvast®).

The panoramic film done showed a diffuse mandibular osteonecrosis (Fig. 11). Peripheral bone regularization limited to the inflammatory gingiva was thus performed and the patient was given oral antibiotic (amoxicillin + clavulanate) and anti-bacterial oral rinse (chlorhexidine). Apart from this regularization to relieve the pain, no other intervention on the necrotic bone was considered.

During the follow-up he presented respectively in March and May 2010 with left and right mandibular sequestrum (Fig. 12). Note that in April 2010 the patient received 10 sessions of pelvic radiotherapy following a bilateral acute back pain.

Case 3

A 53-year old woman presented in September 2010 with a healing defect at the site of tooth #48 that was extracted one year ago. She mentioned that a bone sequestrum was spontaneously eliminated in June 2010 while she was taking Ibuprofen. A mucosal curettage was then performed by her dentist. She had a history of breast cancer in 2006 treated with Decapeptyl® and mastectomy. She was started on iv Zometa® (zoledronic acid) in January 2009 followed by one dose every 6 months (the next dose was programmed in December 2010).

In November 2010 malodorous purulent discharge appeared at the site of the unhealed wound (Fig. 13). Curettage of the inflammatory tissue was then performed and the culture of the pus revealed only normal oral flora without other specific pathogens as Actinomyces. She was given one month of amoxicillin and metronidazol with anti-bacterial oral rinse (chlorhexidine). After a discussion with the oncologist, he decided to suspend the treatment with iv Zometa®. In December 2010, during her follow-up visit, the antibiotics were given for another month and the patient was seen again in January 2011: the mucosal healing was complete at the site of tooth #48 and a 3mm thin sequestrum was removed (Fig. 14a,b). The plan was then to extract lately tooth #26 while having another month of antibiotics. Finally, after four months of a non-stop antibiotherapy (amoxicillin + metronidazol), the tooth #26 was removed on April 1st, 2011 by a partial thickness flap covering the wound with sutures.
Fig. 13: Infection of unhealed wound and panoramic film.

Fig. 14a: Healed wound.

Fig. 14b: Sequestrum

Fig. 15: Surgical removing of the tooth #26.

Fig. 16: Total mucosal healing of the area of wisdom tooth #48.

Fig. 17: Osteonecrosis evolution from October 28, 2010 to June 28, 2011.

Fig. 18: Removal of sequestrum from site 48 and complete mucosal healing on both sites 48 and 26.

Fig. 19: Stabilization of the bone at the site of the missing tooth #48 and incomplete alveolar healing three months after the extraction of tooth #26.
In June 2011, a blood test, a lung X-ray and an abdominal pelvic ultrasound revealed no evidence for recurrence or worsening of her cancer. Zometa was finalized since the last injection in December that had not been administered. Mucosal healing on sites 48 and 26 was complete and a small sequestrum that adheres to the emergent fibromucosa was removed at the forceps (Fig. 18). Two retroalveolar films were taken to sites 48 and 26. It shows a stabilization of the bone at 48 and an incomplete alveolar healing three months after the extraction of 26 (Fig. 19).

Discussion

The three cases presented above are similar concerning the development of jaw osteonecrosis in association with the intravenous route of administration of BsP. However the second case differs from the others in that it was revealed few months after the treatment was stopped. This is in complete concordance with the case definition of BRONJ [2] and with the fact that more than 90% of the disease is induced by iv bisphosphonates (Woo et al., [3]). Moreover in the 3 cases, BRONJ is not a spontaneous complication but was subsequent to trauma like tooth extraction (cases 1 and 3) or the presence of a denture (case 2). The low risk of developing spontaneous BRONJ is mentioned in many articles while reviewing the literature [9, 10].

Advanced stages of BRONJ are presented in the cases above. A stage 3 disease is noted in case 1 because of evidence of sinus wall involvement. A stage 2 disease concerns the cases 2 and 3 because of evidence of infection, pain and ulceration in case 2 and pain with pus in case 3 (Hoff et al., [11]).

The management of BRONJ in all cases seems in concordance with the recommendations of the AAOMS presented in the position paper on bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw—2009 update [4]. Note that the first case was managed despite of the poor knowledge available at that time. Indeed a stage 2 BRONJ should be treated with oral antibiotics, oral antibacterial mouth rinse and superficial debridement to relieve tissue irritation. An extended surgical debridement should be also considered for stage 3 with reconstruction by a plate or an obturator periodically relined by a soft material (Viscogel®, Dentsply-Detrey). In addition, any bony sequestrum should be removed without exposing uninvolved bone and any symptomatic tooth at the site of the necrosis should be extracted because it does not exacerbate the process (Silverman & Landesberg, [12]).

Concerning the management of infection, any purulent discharge should be sampled for culture. If there is no evidence of actinomyces, oral amoxicillin with or without metronidazole is sufficient to cover the germs involved [4, 13]. Ruggiero [14] in 2006 recommended the biopsy only if a metastasis is suspected and considered that hyperbaric oxygen therapy is ineffective. The efficacy of this treatment is still controversial. Finally, the discontinuation of iv BsP in patients with BRONJ shows long-term benefits in terms of stabilizing the necrotic site, preventing new sites development and reducing clinical symptoms. It should be discussed with the oncologist to study the balance between the risks and benefits [4].

The duration and type of the antibiotics treatment is still controversial: some authors support a long-term pre-operative treatment with evidence of better outcome: less recurrence and better mucosal healing without evidence of increased rate of actinomyces infection. Mitsimonas et al. [15] administered ampicillin and clavulanate intravenously for 6 days followed by an oral medication for at least 6 days. Bagan et al. [16] recommended a 10-to-15 day antibiotic regime with amoxicillin and clavulanate or clindamycin accompanied by CHX mouth rinses. For a poor response, they prolonged this scheme for up to 1 month. Stanton and Balasanian [17] administered only antibiotics before operation if an active inflammation was obvious. Otherwise antibiotics were initiated immediately after surgery [18].

Nowadays, prevention is the most effective way to limit the development of BRONJ. First of all, the risk of BRONJ is reduced if some precautions are taken before initiation the treatment with BsP: oral evaluation including panoramic x-ray, removal of symptomatic teeth and complete all the invasive dental procedures. This procedure is possible if the systemic condition of the patients permits the treatment delay [4]. Moreover in an asymptomatic patient taking iv BsP frequent oral dental evaluation with strict oral hygiene are crucial for reducing the risk of BRONJ. Implants are not recommended and any elective jaw surgery should be avoided or if necessary, BsP discontinuation should be discussed with the oncologist [4, 14].

Many studies have demonstrated the potential for simvastatin to promote bone regeneration by enhancing osteoblastic activity and inhibiting osteoclastic activity: enhancing BMP–induced osteoblast differentiation, reversing the suppressive effect of TNF, promoting phosphatase alkaline activity and mineralization, anti-inflammatory effect. It is also demonstrated that low doses (1mg/kg/day orally) decreases bone regeneration while higher doses (20mg/kg/day orally) stimulates it [19]. However other studies state that simvastin is similar to placebo concerning the effect on bone regeneration [20]. These contradicted results are mainly due to the variability in the route of administration, the...
duration of exposure and the experimental animal model [19].

Finally, it is interesting to note in connection with the case 3 the following elements:

- Osteonecrosis in the site 48 was installed when the patient had already undergone four injections every six months.
- The suspension of the fifth injection and wound healing two months later.
- The absence of another outbreak of osteonecrosis despite the presence of residual roots at the site of the tooth #26 which is in itself a potential source of infection.
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